IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

Writ Petition No.6163 of 2007

Dated: April 5, 2007

Between:
D.V.M. Krishna Prasad

… Petitioner

And

NTR University of Health Sciences,
Vijayawada, Krishna District, represented
by its Registrar and another.

… Respondents

Order:

The petitioner, who is a student of M.B.B.S. and appeared for final year examinations, seeks issuance of a writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not evaluating the answer to question No.10 under part-B of General Medicine Paper-II, as illegal and arbitrary and for consequential direction to evaluate the answer script.

2) The petitioner appeared for final year M.B.B.S., Degree Examinations held in October, 2006 with Hall Ticket No.0010021.

In the final results, he was declared as failed in theory and oral papers of General Medicine and he had secured 64 marks out of 140 marks whereas the qualifying marks are 70.

3) The writ petition is filed only with the sole allegation that so far as Question No.10 under Part-B of General Medicine Paper-II is concerned, though he had answered for the said question, the same was not valued by the examiner. It is stated that when he applied for re-totalling of marks, he could notice that answer for Question No.10 was not valued. On that basis, he has come up with this Writ Petition.

4) When the matter has come up for admission on 29.3.2007, the learned Standing Counsel for University sought time to obtain instructions. Now, the learned Standing Counsel has produced a letter written by the Registrar to him. Therein it is stated that after re-verification of the answer script, it was found that the answer to question No.10 was awarded “0” marks. A copy of the tabulation format of the questions is also placed before this Court, which shows, so far as Question No.10 is concerned, he was awarded “0” marks. Even while re-totalling it was certified that all questions answered by the petitioner were valued. In that view of the matter, the apprehension of the petitioner, that question No.10 was not valued, has absolutely no basis. I do not find any merit in this writ petition. The writ petition is devoid of merit.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

5th April, 2007.

BCS